IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3656 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE PRADIP KUMAR SARKAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

VALSAD JILLA KHEDUT SAMAJ

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR KV SHELAT for Petitioner

DS AFF.NOT FILED (R) for Respondent No. 1

MR AV TRIVEDI for Respondent No. 2, 3

MR MUKESH A PATEL, Ld. AGP for Respondent State

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE PRADIP KUMAR SARKAR

Date of decision: 26/09/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

I have heard Mr.K.V.Shelat, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr.A.V.Trivedi, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Gujarat State

Cooperative Agriculture and Rural Development Bank Ltd., respondent No.2. Learned Counsel on behalf of the petitioner submitted that he could not trace out the concerned circular by which the farmers are exempted from payment. Learned Counsel further submitted that the petitioner wants to withdraw the petition to seek redressal by way of filing representation to the respondent Bank. In view of the above submission of the learned Counsel, I am of the view that the Civil Application No.1162 of 2000 and Civil Application No.6394 of 2000 have become infructuous. The petitioner is permitted to withdraw the petition. If so advised, the members of the petitioner, in their individual capacity, may approach the respondent Bank and make representations for relief and if such representations are made, it is expected from the respondent Bank to consider the same sympathetically, specially in view of the fact that the petitioner, Valsad Jilla Khedut Samaj, is a group of small agricultural farmers.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, the main petition stands disposed of as withdrawn. Interim relief, if granted, stands vacated. Rule discharged. No order as to costs.

26-9-2000 (P.K.Sarkar, J.)

vinod